

4.28.2020 CPPC notes

Date: 4.28.2020

Attendees:

- **Topics**

- PDA, chasing money - name change, approximate CCF value, funding, survey

- **Task group report out:**

- **Draft Board**

- When approaching the Kittitas stewardship fund, be careful describing too much at once. The first step might be to highlight sections in the FMP that should not be completed without community input. There should be focus on outreach plan in initial FMP, because want a new sub-committee to focus on a new management plan rather than having Chasing Money + Governance both working on it. Or should each work on a section?

- **Chasing Money**

- We need to change language of “Chasing Money” before it gets locked in, as our financial support comes from all kinds of pots. “Chasing Money,” makes it sound like we only care about money – let’s agree on a more serious label. Suspect factor is high, and we need to be mindful of community’s values. For example, there could be a lot of skepticism after the Teanaway Community Forest survey, “why are we being surveyed again?”
- Map of approximate values across CCF footprint, as well as grant document library- information about programs applicable to community forests and land acquisition.
- Community forest effort, 501c3, PDA
- Vision for PDA – How do we know that this entity will be financially sound etc..
- Endowment to generate funds for running entity (Need a 501c3), grants, timber revenue
- Concept of a Kittitas stewardship fund – Optional fee for like lodging tax
- Discover your northwest – temp 501c3 to help fund the HEM – supports USFS
- Icicle Fund
- How do community forests have benefits to the community?

Public Engagement

- Survey should be a reasonable length with only approximately 10 questions. What is it that we want this survey to ask? What’s the priority to the community? The point of the survey is to test our assumptions of the values of

the community. As a group, have we agreed that these are the goals that we are looking for?

Governance

Grant programs require draft management plans, of which the requirements have a minimal emphasis on “draft.” Right now, seems like a good time to start working on an outline – the plan which should summarize everything we’ve been talking about. We may want to consider establishing another sub-committee with a focus on “management” of drafting this plan (Please refer to Community Forest Management Plan (FMP) Draft). This should be highly sensitive to our broader community. How are we going to involve the community in the drafting of the plan? How do we engage with them once we agree on an outline? What does a stewardship fund/foundation look like in the future? The management plan will need to come from public participation. Spend time developing a framework for the public participation process now.

Push launch date back? Follow up on this

Names will be published on CPPC project publicly

Draw people in with information, social media posts. Example: will community forest drain taxes?

Remind everyone that fundraising is going to be a huge challenge for the next 2 years. We will likely have to explore outside sources.